

*notizie di*

# POLITEIA

RIVISTA DI ETICA E SCELTE PUBBLICHE

## INFORMATION FOR CONTRIBUTORS

*Notizie di Politeia* welcomes manuscripts submissions. The Journal publishes articles in Italian and in English only. Submissions in .doc format should be sent to:

POLITEIA c/o Università degli Studi di Milano, via Festa del Perdono, 7 – 20122 MILANO  
(Italy).

(e-mail: [info@politeia-centrostudi.org](mailto:info@politeia-centrostudi.org)).

Submitting an article to *notizie di Politeia* implies that it has not been published elsewhere and is not considered for publication elsewhere.

Contributions will be subjected to a rigorous review, based on a preliminary screening by the Editors, and a double-blind refereeing by two external anonymous referees. This does not apply to contributions written upon invitation by the Editors. To learn about *notizie di Politeia*'s review process, please read below. Submitted articles should be ready for double-blind peer review and accompanied by a sheet bearing the title of the paper and the author's name, institutional affiliation and postal address. Any self-identifying references should be deleted and, if the author judges necessary, submitted on a separate sheet.

Contributions should not exceed 10.000 words (including notes). All pages should be double-spaced, including notes and references, with a generous margin on all sides. Authors should provide an abstract in English (maximum of 150 words) and four key words for each article.

The article should conclude with a complete bibliography of the works cited. Notes should be consecutively numbered and collected at the end, after the main text and before the bibliography. References should be to author, year and page numbers (preferably in the endnotes rather than directly in the text).

### *Examples of endnotes:*

<sup>1</sup> Luper-Foy and Brown, 1994, pp. 5-6.

<sup>2</sup> Friedman (1990, p. 56) distinguishes between two different kinds of authority (cf. Jones, 1980; Smith, 1990, pp. 125-7).

### *Examples of bibliographic references:*

Friedman, R. B. (1990), "On the Concept of Authority in Political Philosophy", in J. Raz (ed.), *Authority*, Oxford: Blackwell.

Luper-Foy, S. and Brown, C. (eds) (1994), *Drugs, Morality, and the Law*, New York: Garland Publishing.

Waldron, J. (1997), "Il legislativo in Locke", *Filosofia e Questioni Pubbliche*, 3, pp. 29-48.

\* \* \*

*Notizie di Politeia* is indexed in Philosopher's Index; International Bibliography of the Social Sciences (IBSS).

\* \* \*

## **Review Process**

### *1. Acknowledgement of receipt*

*Politeia* promises to acknowledge receipt of an original manuscript by e-mail within 7 days from receipt in electronic format (.doc).

### *2. Preliminary evaluation*

Each contribution published in the journal is subjected to a double blind peer review process, unless written upon invitation.

Each submitted text will be subjected to a preliminary reading by the Editors, who will evaluate the text based on its originality, relevance and scientific interest, in order to decide whether it qualifies for further external review. This decision will be communicated to author within a maximum of 30 days from the acknowledgement of receipt of the contribution (see step 1 above).

### *3. Full evaluation*

If the preliminary evaluation is positive, *Politeia* will send the contribution to two external experts, who will perform a double-blind peer review.

This does not imply that the article will be accepted: *Politeia* will take a decision only after receiving both referees' reports. *Politeia* encourages referees to send their feedback promptly (within two months), to allow a final decision within three months from the acknowledgement of receipt. Reviews will be forwarded in anonymous form to the author.

#### *4.1 Acceptance*

If the reviews by the anonymous experts are favorable, the contribution will generally be accepted on the condition that the author takes into account the comments and doubts expressed therein.

#### *4.2 Revise and resubmit*

If the reviews are favorable but suggest revision and changes in the text, the author will be invited to consider the experts' comments and resubmit a revised version of the contribution. Once the Editors receive the revised version, they will determine whether the author has incorporated the suggested changes. If so, the paper will be accepted. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.

### *5. Publication*

Authors of the published papers will receive a free copy of the Journal. Papers accepted for publication cannot be published elsewhere, not even partially, without the written permission of, and on the conditions agreed with, the Editor in chief.

By this process, the Editors and the Editorial Board intend to offer a rigorous and transparent evaluation of contributions, from their initial receipt to their final acceptance or rejection.